Detention and Corrections CASELAW QUARTERLY

Second Interim Supplement for the

Issue No. 66

25th Edition Detention and Corrections Caselaw Catalog

This issue of *Detention and Corrections Caselaw Quarterly* (DCCQ) provides summaries of 63 federal court decisions that were published after the 25th Edition Detention and Corrections Caselaw Catalog (2015) was released. It serves as the second interim supplement for the 25th Edition, or may be used as a stand-alone review of cases by readers who do not have the Catalog.

<u>PART 1</u> provides complete case summaries in alphabetical order.

<u>PART 2</u> presents the case summaries according to 50 major topics, using the same organization and format as the *Detention and Corrections Caselaw Catalog*. Cases are presented alphabetically by topic. The left margin identifies the level of court and subtopics for each case summary.

CONTENTS

PART 1: Complete Case Summaries		26. Juveniles	
PART 2: Summaries by Major Topics	26	27. Liability	51
1. Access to Courts	26	28. Mail	54
2. Administration	28	29. Medical Care	54
3. Administrative Segregation	29	30. Mental Problems (Prisoner)	59
4. Assessment of Costs	29	31. Personnel	59
5. Attorney Fees	30	32. Pretrial Detention	60
6. Bail	30	33. Privacy	66
7. Civil Rights	30	34. Programs-Prisoner	64
8. Classification & Separation	32	35. Property-Prisoner Personal	64
9. Conditions of Confinement	34	36. Release	64
10. Cruel and Unusual Punishment	35	37. Religion	65
11. Discipline	36	38. Rules & Regulations-Prisoner	66
12. Exercise and Recreation	37	39. Safety and Security	67
13. Ex-Offenders	37	40. Sanitation	69
14. Failure to Protect	37	41. Searches	70
15. Facilities	41	42. Services-Prisoner	70
16. False Imprisonment/Arrest	43	43. Sentence	70
17. Female Prisoners	43	44. Standards	70
18. Food	44	45. Supervision	71
19. Free Speech, Expression, Assoc	44	46. Training	72
20. Good Time	45	47. Transfers	72
21. Grievance Procedures, Prisoner	46	48. Use of Force	73
22. Habeas Corpus	48	49. Visiting	75
23. Hygiene-Prisoner Personal	48	50. Work-Prisoner	76
24. Immunity	48	TABLE OF CASES	76
25. Intake and Admissions	49	Glossary	78

CRS, Inc. A Non-Profit Organization Serving Corrections Since 1972

925 Johnson Drive Gettysburg, PA 17325 (717) 338-9100 Fax (717) 718-6178 www.shopers.org
Published with cooperation from the American Jail Association

All Rights Reserved

ORDER FORM: Detention and Corrections Caselaw Catalog and Quarterly

(revised July 2015)

<u>Return To</u> :	CRS, INC. 925 JOHNS (717) 338-9100 FAX (7	GETTYSBURG, P. Fed. I.D. #38-1	www.correction.org rod@correction.org
SHIP TO: _			PURCHASE ORDER #
-			Cust. #(from mailing label)
BILL TO: (i	f different than Ship To)		

Number Ordered	You may also ORDER ON-LINE at www.shopscrs.org	Unit Price	Total Cost
CASELAV	V CATALOG		
	Detention and Corrections Caselaw Catalog, 25th Edition 2014-2015		
	* Library Edition (6 binders w/ tab dividers) \$249.00	\$249.00	
	* Unbound Edition (no tabs, no binders) \$199.00	\$199.00	
SUPPLEM	IENTS for the CASELAW CATALOG	-	
	If you have 24th Edition 2013 →1 Year Supplement 23rd Edition 2011-12 →2 Year Supplement 22nd Edition 2010 →3 Year Supplement 21st Edition 2009 →4 Year Supplement 20th Edition 2008 →5 Year Supplement 19th Edition 2007 or Earlier Special Supplement		
	1-Year SUPPLEMENT for the 25 th Edition Caselaw Catalog Brings a 24th Edition Catalog up to a 25 th Edition.	\$129.00	
	2-Year SUPPLEMENT for the 25 th Edition Caselaw Catalog Brings a 23rd Edition Catalog up to a 25 th Edition.	\$139.00	
	3-Year SUPPLEMENT for the 25 th Edition Caselaw Catalog Brings a 22nd Edition Catalog up to a 25 th Edition.	\$149.00	
	4-Year SUPPLEMENT for the 25 th Edition Caselaw Catalog Brings a 21st Edition Catalog up to a 25 th Edition.	\$159.00	
	<u>5-Year</u> SUPPLEMENT for the 25 th Edition Caselaw Catalog Brings an 20 th Edition Catalog up to a 25 th Edition.	\$169.00	
	SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT for the 25 th Edition Caselaw Catalog Brings a 19 th Edition Catalog <i>or earlier edition</i> - up to a 25th Edition. <i>Order only if you have an 19th (2007) or earlier edition</i> .	\$189.00	
BINDERS		\$12/one	
	Extra binders for the Caselaw Catalog. 2-inch binder	\$20/two \$26/three	
CASELAV	V QUARTERLY		
	DETENTION AND CORRECTIONS CASELAW QUARTERLY. Receive new court case summaries every 3 months. Presents newly- published cases in the same format as they will appear in the <u>Catalog</u> . Serves as an "interim supplement" or as a stand-alone resource. Over 300 cases summarized every year. One year (four issues)	\$ 99.00 \$179.00	
	TOTAL		

Major credit cards (VISA, MasterCard) accepted, please use our web store (www.shopcrs.org) Shipping Policy: All prices *include* shipping (UPS ground or USPS media mail) and handling.

U.S. Appeals Court
WRONGFUL DEATH
MEDICAL CARE
INTIMIDATION

U.S. District Court
PRETRIAL DETAINEE
INADEQUATE CARE
DELIBERATE
INDIFFERENCE

U.S. District Court
ALCOHOL/DRUGS
DELIBERATE
INDIFFERENCE
FAILURE TO PROVIDE
CARE
INADEQUATE CARE

Estate of Henson v. Wichita County, Tex., 795 F.3d 456 (5th Cir. 2015). The estate of a pretrial detainee who died from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) while being held in a county jail brought a § 1983 action against the county, jail physician, and others, alleging violation of the detainee's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights, and asserted claims under state law for negligence and breach of contract. The district court granted in part, and denied in part, the defendants' motions for summary judgment based on qualified immunity. The court of appeals reversed and remanded in part. The physician and county moved for reconsideration. The appeals court granted the motion and the estate appealed. The court held that there was no unstated policy of intimidation at the jail to prevent sending detainees to a hospital, and thus, the doctor could not be liable for alleged enforcement of such a policy. According to the court, the county's multi-tiered health services plan, which provided that the county jail would employ six licensed vocational nurses, rather than registered nurses, and one jail physician, to provide medical care for pretrial detainees, and which did not require the nurses and physician to be present at jail facility at all times, but required them to be available via telephone and regularly present for sick call clinics, and provided that detainees facing emergency situations would be transported to a hospital, did not violate the due process rights of the pretrial detainee who died of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) while held at the jail. According to the court, the county's plan was reasonably related to its legitimate interest in providing medical attention to detainees with varying levels of need, and there was no showing that serious injury and death were the inevitable results of the plan. (Wichita County Jail, Texas)

Frary v. County of Marin, 81 F.Supp.3d 811 (N.D.Cal. 2015). A deceased detainee's wife, mother, daughter, and estate brought an action against a county and certain county jail employees, alleging that the employees were deliberately indifferent to the detainee's serious medical needs while he was in custody. The defendants moved for summary judgment. The district court granted the motion in part and denied in part. The district court held that summary judgment was precluded by genuine issues of material fact as to: (1) whether a deputy was aware of a substantial risk to the detainee's serious medical needs and disregarded that risk by failing to monitor the detainee more closely; (2) whether another deputy knew of and disregarded an excessive risk to the detainee's health when she failed to ascertain the circumstances of the detainee's prolonged unconsciousness, and when she falsely radioed another deputy falsely suggesting that the detainee had consciously refused breakfast; (3) whether a nurse recognized a serious risk to the detainee's health from ingesting street morphine pills and then failed to take reasonable precautionary steps to protect the detainee from that risk; (4) whether the sheriff's duties with respect to the county jail were causally connected to the alleged violations of the detainee's due process rights; (5) whether the county's policy and practice of indirect monitoring at the county jail was a moving force behind the alleged violation of the detainee's due process rights; and (6) whether the county's failure to implement policies at the county jail about how to monitor detainees with medical needs was the moving force behind the alleged violation of the due process rights of the detainee. The plaintiffs alleged that the Jail's regular practice and operating procedure was only to observe inmates indirectly, using "tower checks" where deputies looked out the tower window to observe the inmates from dozens of feet away, or listening to inmates through intercoms in their cells. (Marin County Jail, California)

M.H. v. County of Alameda, 90 F.Supp.3d 889 (E.D. Cal. 2013). Children of a deceased inmate brought a § 1983 action against a doctor, a nurse, prison health services, a county, a sheriff, ten deputies, and a county social worker. The inmate died from anoxic encephalopathy due to cardiac arrest following excessive physical exertion, multiple blunt injuries, and tasering, which occurred while he was incarcerated, and while he was experiencing severe alcohol withdrawal. The defendants moved to dismiss. The district court granted the motions in part and denied in part. The court held that the children sufficiently stated a claim under California law that the nurse was deliberately indifferent to the inmate's medical needs, by alleging that the nurse knew that the inmate was at risk of severe alcohol withdrawal, violated prison and county procedure in failing to attend to his medical needs, and failed to satisfy the medical standard of care, which resulted in substantial harm to the inmate. According to the court, the children also stated valid Monell claims by alleging that the doctor's and the prison health services corporation's customs, practices, or lack thereof, constituted deliberate indifference to the prisoners' medical needs, and also stated a claim for supervisory liability. The inmate had broken a food tray in his cell, blocked his toilet, and made a mess of his cell. A deputy allegedly entered his cell alone with a taser in one hand and handcuffs in the other. The deputy tased the inmate for two cycles, or ten seconds, causing the inmate to run for the door, slip on the wet floor, and fall. The children alleged that the deputy and at least nine other deputies then severely beat, punched, kicked, stomped, tased, and brutalized the inmate. The inmate was taken to a hospital where he was found to suffer anoxic brain damage, severe acidosis, several cardiac arrests, and respiratory failure. The inmate died two days later. An autopsy determined that the inmate died from anoxic encephalopathy due to cardiac arrest following excessive physical exertion, multiple blunt injuries, and tasering. (Corizon Health Inc, and Santa Rita Jail, Alameda County Sheriffs' Department, California)